

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Magnus HOLGESSON

Serial No.: 10/572,546

Filed: April 24, 2006

Confirmation: 7403

Group Art Unit: 3618

Examiner: WALTERS, JOHN  
DANIEL

For: **TRANSPORT ASSEMBLY**

**REMARKS IN RESPONSE TO AN ADVISORY ACTION**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Responsive to the Advisory Action mailed on January 22, 2009, the following statement is contained:

“Applicant appears to be arguing against the individual references contained within the combination rejections without taking into account the manner in which they were combined. The prior rejection clearly states that “Dickinson is relied upon for the teaching of a placement of a receiving means upon a lid, while Broadley is relied upon the teachings of two narrow parallel long side channels”.

Firstly, applicants were arguing the combination of references and argued that none of the references relied upon in the combination teach the elements of the claimed rejection. Thus, the proposed combination of references still does not teach the features of the claimed invention.

“Applicants original disclosure (e.g. page 1 of the specification) acknowledges that